| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 10/681,443 | OTSUKA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Minh-Loan T. Tran | 2826 | |

**All Participants:**

(1) *Minh-Loan T. Tran (examiner)*.

(2) *Ramyar Farid (attorney)*.

**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

**Date of Interview:** *15 November 2005*

**Time:** _____

**Type of Interview:**
- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:
*None*

Claims discussed:
*52*

Prior art documents discussed:
*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
*Applicant's attorney confirmed that claim 52 had not been canceled (see supplemental amendment filed on 08/03/2005).*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)